IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.355 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7441 OF 2005.

Shaikh Mohd. Faeem. ..Applicant.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Mr.Ganesh Gole for applicant.

Mrs.M.M.Deshmukh, APP for State.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J

DATE : DECEMBER 14, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Heard counsel for the parties. Perused the evidence placed on record.

2. I find no reason to depart from the conclusion reached by the two courts below. Argument of the counsel for the applicant that the courts below have not appreciated the evidence in the proper perspective does not commend to me. The trial court has adverted to the contention which was pressed into service before this court and has dealt with the same in paragraph 5 of the judgment. The view taken is a possible view. Merely because different view is possible, that is no reason to exercise revisional

jurisdiction. Hence, rejected.

3. In view of this order, application for bail is also rejected.